

## Minutes of 3/20/06 AFC Audit Technical Working Group Meeting

NO.	REPORT SECTION IV. SUBSECTION(S)	SPP RECOMMENDATION	Resource Priority	Stakeholder Priority
1	A.(c) I.(5)(a)(iii)	Revisit the software patch to determine that this type issue will not occur in the future	3	NA
2	B.(1)(c) E.(c) I.(2)(b)(iii)	All assumptions that provide an additional level of detail beyond those assumptions currently posted with the AFC models, be formally documented	1	NA
3	B.(2)(c)	AFC Impact Log and TSR Log process be further automated	4	NA
4	C.(c) D.(2)(c) D.(1)(c) H.(c) I.(1)(a)(iii)	Enhancement of the HRM and other quality control processes as well as enhancement of current quality control processes to include flowgate revisions and enhancements to the flowgate addition rules	2	NA
5	G.(c) I.(4)(a)(iii) I.(4)(b)(iii)	Exchange of data with neighboring entities be enhanced and further development of coordination procedures with first tier control areas	10	5
6	I.(1)(b)(iii)	Provide means for stakeholders to upgrade facilities that limit AFC	7	3
7	I.(1)(c)(iii)	Enhance notification and resolution processes concerning this resynchronization and last good solution	6	4
8	I.(1)(d)(iii) I.(2)(a)(iii)	Enhancement to posting practices to include a subsystem file coincident with operating/planning horizon models and outage posting process enhancement	5	5
9	I.(3)(a)(iii)	Discontinue the practice of utilizing overgeneration and look to stakeholder process to determine more effective method	7	2

10	I.(3)(b)(iii)	<b>Discontinue practice of utilizing negative generation and look to stakeholder process to determine more effective method</b>	7	1
----	---------------	---	---	---

- Discussion led to two different priorities. Resource and Stakeholder (shown above)
- The first four recommendations were taken off the Stakeholder priority list. It was decided that these four, regardless of the priority, are in progress and will be worked on in parallel to the remaining six recommendations.
- For recommendation number 1 SPP should be the ones to contact AREVA and make sure of changes, because at the end of the day SPP will have to be the ones comfortable with the outcome. Entergy will need to provide contact information to SPP.
- After the Stakeholder Priorities were agreed upon, the top two priorities, negative generation and over generation, were discussed in detail.
- Negative Generation was discussed and possibilities for implementing the recommendation were as follows:
  - 1) Further enhance existing methodology
  - 2) Have larger generation portfolio to put on AGC
  - 3) Load Scaling (last option)
  - 4) Reservation reduction
  - 5) Increase exports (to reduce imports)
  - 6) Investigate generation starting point
- After these options were discussed, agreement was reached that numbers 4 and 2 in the above list are the best approaches to solving the issue of negative generation. Both 4 and 2 were discussed in detail.
- By the next meeting, in Jackson on April 11<sup>th</sup>, Entergy is going to develop options for the implementation of all ten recommendations based on their knowledge of their AFC process and SPP will be available to answer questions. The Stakeholders were also welcomed to bring their input on how they think the options for the negative generation issue should be implemented.